
P1: Need Finding

October 13, 2017

Roshni Iyer, Purva Gupta, Shanaaz Deo, Kunal Chaudhary

Event 1: Observations and insights

- Colloquium: A European refugee crisis or a humanity crisis?
- About the various policies affecting refugees in Europe
 - Criminalization, sustainable development goals, refugee resettlement program
- Discussions we heard:
 - What defines a displaced refugee?
 - As an individual how can I convince or effectively have a conversation with people of strongly differing views?
- Interview
 - Girl who did study abroad in Hungary
 - Differing POV regarding refugees, hard to have conversations




Event 2: Observations and insights

- Free Speech on Campus, Panel Discussion with Dean Erwin Chemerinsky
- Panel Discussion: what forms of free speech does the US
 Constitution protect, what is allowed and prohibited by the law
- Discussion was too oriented upon what the law says, instead of how to improve free speech
 - People are afraid to express opinions (Milo Yiannopuolos and ANTIFA)







Event: Free Speech on Campus: A Discussion WI Dearn Erryin Chemorinsky.

2 In Berkeley professor & Dearn of Law. Panel Discussion to

10 Berkeley professor & Dearn of Law. Panel Discussion

11 The Speech & Contest of free speech in relevance to

12 Us. Constitution. There was Qx A during the event with

13 There was Qx A during the event with

14 Us. Constitution. There topics and questions that

15 There was and that the Dearn intentionally avoided

16 Went maddressed and that the Dearn intentionally avoided

17 Went maddressed and that the Dearn intentionally avoided

18 Went maddressed and that the Dearn intentionally avoided

Event 2: Interview Recording



Point of View: #1

Interviewed a girl at the european refugee talk who studied abroad in Hungary and had a lot of friends/family disregard her opinions because she was a "Berkeley liberal".

We were surprised to realize that people discount others' opinions because they come from significantly different backgrounds.

It would be game-changing to see if we could have people who come from significantly similar background but have differing opinions on some specific political topics have a constructive conversation.

HMW Statements for POV #1

• How might we make people more open to hearing differing opinions and not immediately judging?

 How might we find individuals that have similar backgrounds but small differences in opinions and have them converse through some medium?

Point of View: #2

Interviewed a UC Berkeley law major who intends to pursue a Master's Degree in Law and interviewed the event's AV Technician who is a left-wing liberalist.

We were surprised to learn that people actually had diverse opinions of specific topics but were simply too afraid to express them so these opinions are not brought up.

It would be game-changing to see if we could enable people to express their opinions freely, using the law or otherwise, to shield them from emotional or physical attack.

HMW Statements for POV #2

• How might we enable people to be comfortable in expressing their opinions on topics that involve much controversy?

 How might we transform our culture and the mindsets of people to have meaningful/constructive conversations with each other without involving criticism?